

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,105 12/04/2003		12/04/2003	Hugues Cremer	DP-308260 7297		
22851	759	90 04/21/2005		EXAMINER		
DELPHI	TECI	HNOLOGIES, INC.	ORDERS, CHRISTOPHER H			
M/C 480- PO BOX :		02		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TROY, M	1I 48	007	3746			
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					9				
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office A 41 0	10/728,	105	HUGUES CREMER ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit					
			her H. Orders	3746					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commu Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	Iress				
THE MA - Extension after SI - If the per - If NO per - Failure to	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ons of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come oriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum so to reply within the set or extended period for reply ly received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the s tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tin tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>0</u> 7 <i>March 200</i>	<u>'5</u> .						
·	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□ S	,—								
c	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□ C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>5-16</u> is/are objected to.								
8)□ C	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	n Papers								
9)⊠ Th	ne specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)⊠ Tł	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Α	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
R	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)□ TI	ne oath or declaration is objected t	to by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internative the attached detailed Office active	or documents have be or documents have be of the priority docur onal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicat ments have been receiv ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage				
36	o ino altaonoù delaneù Office acti	on to, a hat of the ce	тапов ворієз постебенн						
Attachment(s	•			·					
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (DTO 048\	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) X Informa	or Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o lo(s)/Mail Date <u>December 4, 2003</u> .		5) Notice of Informal I		-152)				

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. A reference appears in pg. 1, ln. 7. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because the upper most recitation of element 32 (fig. 4) does not appear to properly reference the vertical rib. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as

Art Unit: 3746

per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the recitation of "fuel pump (20)" (In. 7) is presumed to be --fuel pump (12)-- to properly reference the drawings.

 Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The disclosure refers to the claims in pg. 1, ln. 23-25, which is impermissible.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 5. Claims 2, 5, 7, and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - The recitation of "connecting element (24) is horizontally arranged" (claim 2, ln.
 2) is presumed to be --connecting element (24) is horizontally arranged such that the S-shaped cross-section is vertically oriented-- for proper clarity.
 - Claim 5 is objected to because "first and second ribs" have antecedent basis in claim 4, from which this claim depends. However, if antecedent terminology were to be inserted, the claim would be improper in that claim 3 does not include the ribs. Examiner suggests splitting the claim up to avoid this problem.

Art Unit: 3746

The recitation of "the preceding claim" (claim 7, In. 1) is presumed to be
 --claim 6-- for proper clarity.

- The recitation of "element (20)" (claim 7, In. 2) is presumed to be --element (24)--to properly reference the drawings.
- The recitation of "the preceding claim" (claim 13, In. 1) is presumed to be
 --claim 12-- for proper clarity.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 6. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.
- 7. Claims 5-16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coha et al. (5,482,444) in view of Sundstrom (5,764,498).

Art Unit: 3746

Coha et al. teach a vibration isolating fuel pump assembly comprising: a stationary support (22) to be mounted in a fuel tank (12); an inner retainer (62) fitted in said stationary support (22), said inner retainer (62) having a retainer body (64) and at least two flexible legs (46A, 46B) extending substantially vertically and connected to said retainer body (64), the upper ends of said flexible legs (64A, 64B) being firmly supported by said stationary support (22) in the upper part thereof (col. 2, ln. 57-61); and a fuel pump (12) received in said retainer body (64); and characterised in that each flexible leg (46A, 46B) has its lower end connected to said retainer body (64) via a resilient connecting element (74A, 74B, 74C) which is horizontally arranged (see fig. 2);

Coha et al. do not expressly teach that the resilient connecting element (74A, 74B, 74C) have a generally S-shape profile; and said S-shaped connecting element has: an interior portion on the periphery of said retainer body; an exterior portion connecting to the respective leg; and a central portion extending between opposite edges of said interior and exterior portions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the S-shaped connecting means of Sundstrom with the fuel pump mount of Coha et al. for the benefit of an alternate connecting means with resilient compliance in the x, y, and z directions (Sundstrom col. 2, ln. 1-5).

10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coha et al. (5,482,444) and Sundstrom (5,764,498) as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Weber (4,752,058).

Art Unit: 3746

Coha et al. and Sundstrom teach many of the claim limitations including a connecting means (74A, 74B, 74C) but do not expressly teach that said interior portion (bound by 8b) has, on its side facing the exterior portion (bound by 8a), a first rib; and said exterior portion (bound by 8a) has, on its side facing the interior portion (bound by 8b), a second rib coinciding with that of the interior portion (bound by 8b) in such a way that when said S-shaped element (8) is compressed, said ribs come into abutment against said central portion (8e) in face-to-face relationship. However, Weber teach that a connecting means (10) with an interior portion (12) has, on its side facing the exterior portion (11), a first rib (45); and said exterior portion (11) has, on its side facing the interior portion (12), a second rib (45) coinciding with that of the interior portion (12) in such a way that when the element (10) is compressed, said ribs (45) come into abutment against said central portion (40) in face-to-face relationship. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the ribs of Weber with the fuel pump mount of Coha et al. in view of Sundstrom for the benefit of protecting the connecting element against crushing (Weber col. 4, In. 33-38).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher H. Orders whose telephone number is (571) 272-7163. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-4pm.

Art Unit: 3746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHO

CHERYL TYLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER